Low Carbon Asia Research Network (LoCARNet) 3rd Annual Meeting Bogor, Indonesia November 24 – 26, 2014

Forest and Land Cover Monitoring by Remote Sensing Data Analysis

Muhammad Ardiansyah

Center for Climate Risk and Opportunity Management in Southeast Asia and Pacific BOGOR AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY

Introduction

- Since 1980ies, information about forest and land cover is importance for description and study of environment
- Forest and land cover:
 - the easiest detectable indicator of human intervention
 - o a critical parameter for environmental databases

• Since 1980ies also,

- the use of remote sensing data for supporting research on global change and sustainability is tremendous
- Land use and land cover change became a key topic within global change research program (IGBP, ISSC, IAI, APN, START, GCTE, NASA-LCLUC, GLP, GOFC-GOLD)

- Why tropical forests are of particular interest in environmental study dealing with land cover and land use change ?
 - Tropical land is home to more than 55% of global population and human activities related to land use
 - Tropical ecosystem harbour a biodiversity, deforestation and land cover conversion
 - Tropical forest consist of a major terrestrial carbon sink and sources of emission.

- Tropical forests are under significant threat
- Deforestation directly cause carbon release to the atmosphere and accounts for one fifth of human induced emission of CO2 (IPCC 2007)
- In Indonesia, forest and land cover change are significant components of Indonesia's emissions profile (SNC, 2009)



- Since deforestation is almost occurring in tropical forests, thus the necessity of developing tool and providing spatially base data for monitoring deforestation and forest degradation has been underlined during COP13 in Bali
- Several effort to map land cover in the tropic region and to monitor forest cover change have been done in the past, however the scope of forest monitoring is much broader.
- 3 groups of research:
 - LCLUC and carbon dynamics
 - LCLUC and biological conservation
 - Vegetation activity and climate variability
- Remote sensing data provide most reliable data source for accurately and objectively estimates change in forest over large area, particularly in remote area and difficult to access.

Remote sensing data analysis for land cover mapping and monitoring

 Success of land cover studies depend on the availability data at a desired spatial and temporal resolution

Level of resolution	Spatial resolution	Scale of study
Coarse - Medium	> 250 m	Global (< 1 : 250,000)
High	> 10 m	Regional (< 1: 25,000)
Very high	< 10 m	Local (> 1: 25,000)

The main types of data for forest monitoring in Indonesia





Land cover product in Indonesia (regional)

Land Cover	Period	Satellite Data	Resolution	Approach	Source
Land cover, Indonesa	2000 – 2011 (every 3 years)	Landsat 5/7	6.25 ha	Visual interpretation	MoF
Land cover, Indonesia	2000 - 2012	Landsat 5/7	25 m	Bayesian probability Network	LAPAN/INCA S
Land cover, Indonesia	2000 - 2010	Landsat 5/7	60 m	Tree class. algorithm	Univ. of Maryland
Land cover, Kalimantan	2009 - 2010	ALOS- PALSAR/RAD AR SAT	50 m	Marcov random field	Wageningen Univ.
Land cover, Sumatra	2007 - 2010	ALOS- PALSAR	25 m	Random Trees, SVM, MLP (Multi Layer Perceptron)	Wageningen Univ.
Land cover, Indonesia	2000 - 2010	Landsat 5/7	30 m	Segmentation	ICRAF

Other product of land cover (global)

Product	Sensor	Reference	Spatial	Coverage	Classification	Scientific	Data access / information
		year	resolution		scheme (legend)	reference	
GLCC	AVHRR	1992	1 km	Global	IGBP (17 classes)	Loveland et al. (2000)	http://edc2.usgs.gov/glcc/
UMD land-cover	AVHRR	1992	1 km	Global	Simplified IGBP (14 classes)	Hansen et al. (2000)	http://glcf.umiacs.umd. edu/data/landcover/
TREES I	AVHRR	1992	1 km	pan- tropical	TREES (9 classes)	Achard et al. (2001)	http://www-tem.jrc.it/
Vegetation Continuous Fields (VCF)	AVHRR	1992	1 km	Global	continuous (% tree cover)	DeFries et al. (2000)	http://glcf.umiacs.umd. edu/data/treecover/
GLC2000	SPOT- VGT	2000	1 km	Global	LCCS	Bartholomé and Belward (2005)	http://www-tem.jrc.it/
MODIS land-cover	MODIS	2000	1 km	Global	simplified IGBP	Friedl et al (2002)	http://edcimswww.cr.usgs. gov/pub/imswelcome/
TREES II	SPOT- VGT	2000	1 km	Insular SE Asia	TREES (9 classes)	Stibig et al. (2003)	http://www-tem.jrc.it/
Vegetation Continuous Fields (VCF)	MODIS	2000-2005	500 m	Global	$\begin{array}{c} \text{continuous (\%} \\ \text{vegetation cover)} \end{array}$	Hansen et al. (2002)	http://glcf.umiacs.umd. edu/data/vcf/
GlobCover	MERIS	2005	300 m	Global	LCCS	Arino et al (2007)	http://ionia1.esrin.esa. int/index.asp
TREES III	MERIS, Landsat	1990 / 2000 / 2005	30 m (stratified irregular sample)	pan- tropical + Eurasia	N/A	N/A	http://ies.jrc.ec.europa.eu/
FRA 2010	Landsat	1990 / 2000 / 2005	30 m (systematic sample)	Global	FAO (8 classes)	N/A	http://www.fao.org/forestry_44375/en/
NASA LCLUC	MODIS, Landsat	2000 / 2005	30 m (stratified block sampling)	Global	N/A	N/A	http://lcluc.umd.edu/



Landcover variation between GLC2000 (left, 1km resolution) and GlobCover (right, 300 m resolution)

Challenges:

- Difference in remote sensing satellite source
- Difference in image analysis
- Difference in land cover and use category;
- Diversity in forest definition, deforestation

• Thus:

- Disagreement among products
- Inconsistency in land cover type
- Land cover change and deforestation is different



Distribution of FRA2010 sampling

Results

FRA	Pa	th/row	Landsat	GLC2000/	Land
tile	La	ndsat	ETM+	GlobCover	surface
ID	E	TM+	annual net	annual net	per tile
			forest loss (%)	forest loss $(\%)$	(%)
e119s03	115	62	-0.4	-4.2	100
e120s00	115	60	0.0	-10.1	94
e120s01	114	61	-1.8	4.8	100
e120s02	114	61	-7.6	-0.2	100
e120s03	114	62	0.0	-9.9	100
e120s04	114	63	0.0	-15.5	89
e120s05	114	63	0.2	6.6	100
e121n01	114	59	-0.6	-2.9	100
e121s02	114	61	clouds	-3.5	100
e121s03	113	62	1.4	-10.1	11
e122n01	113	59	-8.0	-8.4	79
e122s01	113	61	0.0	-12.1	69
e122s03	113	62	-2.2	-2.0	100
e122s04	113	63	1.5	-5.8	100
e122s05	113	63	-6.2	-11.9	3
e123n01	113	59	-3.0	-14.7	13
e123s01	112	61	-0.4	-8.3	1
e123s02	112	61	0.0	-9.2	1
e123s04	112	63	-2.4	-14.2	33
e123s05	112	63	clouds	-15.9	36
e124n01	112	59	0.0	-15.8	1
e124s06	111	64	-0.2	-14.5	21
e125n01	111	59	-0.4	15.1	9
Mean (are	ea weight	ed)	-1.8	-5.9	
Standard	error		0.54	1.67	

Mean annual forest los for Sulawesi:

- 1.8% based on Landsat ETM+,
- 5.9% based on global land cover products

INCAS'Land Cover Product

- This data is part of Indonesia's National Carbon Accounting System (INCAS).
- a wall-to-wall monitoring of Indonesia's forest changes for the period 2000-2012 as inputs for carbon accounting
- The product was prepared by LAPAN (National Institute of Aeronautics and Space of Indonesia) supervised by CSIRO Australia
- Land cover type: forest and non-forest

Forest Cover Dynamic (2000 – 2009)



INCAS'S COMPONENTS





Forest, land cover, deforestation, *V* Forest degradation/disturbance mapping

Wildfire detection CO2 Flux, concentration

Biomas Classification:

Classification of forests into groups (biomass classes) that best explain the variation of biomass in undisturbed forest condition Land Cover Change Analysis Deforestation (permanent loss of forest cover) Degradation (forest clearance and regeneration or partial removal)

arbon Accounting and Reporting Model (ICARM)

Forest Disturbance Class Mapping

Minimal disturbance Moderate disturbance Heavy disturbance Carbon stock estimation Aboveground biomass Belowground biomass Litter Debris Soil

How to integrate satellite data source from different resolutions ?

Challenges for remote sensing based input for study of entvirontment

Precise geometric co-registration of multi-temporal, -sensor and time series satellite data

A robust pre-processing on data harmonization (spectral, spatial, temporal fitting)

Detection of land cover modification in addition to land conversion

Detection of abrupt and gradual change

Detection and separation of spontaneous, seasonal, annual change from inter-annual and long term term

Scale dependency of change estimates derived from satellite image at different spatial resolution

Development of an appropriate mapping and change detection method

Adoption of a consistent classification concept, i.e. using a hierarchical tree concept

Concluding remarks

- Development of comprehensive and reliable operational monitoring concept for forest and land cover change needs:
 - a robust pre-processing on data harmonization (spectral, spatial, and temporal fitting)
 - Integration of single mapping approaches
 - a data use policy on existing and planned multi-spectral satellite system and development of a multi-sensor

THANK YOU Terima kasih