

# Fossil-free circular industry – how could it evolve?

LCS-Rnet 9th Meeting, Warwick 12-13 September 2017 LARS J. NILSSON & MAX ÅHMAN









**Figure 10.2** A schematic illustration of industrial activity over the supply chain. Options for climate change mitigation in the industry sector are indicated by the circled numbers: (1) Energy efficiency (e.g., through furnace insulation, process coupling, or increased material recycling); (2) Emissions efficiency (e.g., from switching to non-fossil fuel electricity supply, or applying CCS to cement kilns); (3a) Material efficiency in manufacturing (e.g., through reducing yield losses in blanking and stamping sheet metal or re-using old structural steel without melting); (3b) Material efficiency in product design (e.g., through extended product life, light-weight design, or de-materialization); (4) Product-Service efficiency (e.g., through car sharing, or higher building occupancy); (5) Service demand reduction (e.g., switching from private to public transport).

#### Source: IPCC, AR5 WGIII, 2014

### EU Circular Economy and Climate Mitigation Policy

- Ecodesign Directive (EE)
- Industrial Emissions Directive and BREFdocuments
- Waste Directives
  - Packaging, vehicles, buildings, etc
- Plastics strategy
- R&D funding (H2020)
- Renewable Energy
- Energy Efficiency
- EU-ETS and Effort Sharing





Figure 10.2 | A schematic illustration of industrial activity over the supply chain. Options for climate change mitigation in the industry sector are indicated by the circled numbers: (1) Energy efficiency (e.g., through fumace insulation, process coupling, or increased material recycling); (2) Emissions efficiency (e.g., from switching to non-fossil fuel electricity supply, or applying (CS to cernent kilne); (3a) Material efficiency in manufacturing (e.g., through reducing yield losses in bianking and stamping sheet metal or re-using oid structural steel without melting; (3b) Material efficiency in product design (e.g., through textended product [le. [ght-weight design; or de-materialization]; (d) Product-Service efficiency (e.g., through carbaning, or higher building occupancy; (S) Service demand reduction (e.g., subtring) from private to public transport).

Adapted from Draft mapping by Circle Economy, in collaboration with Allen & Overy LLP for ECF and i24c

### Emissions efficiency (i.e., #2 process emissions) from energy and feedstock

- Mitigation through emissions efficiency:
  - Carbon Capture and Storage
  - Biobased feedstock and fuels (biogas, charcoal, wood chips, etc.)
  - Electricity and hydrogen/CO<sub>2</sub>/hydrocarbons for energy <u>and</u> <u>feedstock</u>
- Few, if any, co-benefits but more expensive (e.g., from 30 % for steel, 100 % for cement, to 300 % for plastics)
- Potentially large electricity user (e.g., +1500 TWh in EU)



Investing in new low-CO<sub>2</sub> steel- and cement-making processes would require substantial increases in the selling prices of steel and cement, but the price increase facing a car buyer or a procurer of a building would be marginal...



Source: Johan Rootzén, Chalmers

## Power to plastics costs

Power-to-Methanol-to-Olefins (polyethylene/polypropylene)

- Fossil-based raw material price PE/PP 1400-1500 EUR/ton
- Plastic bottle price 10 cents of which material is 5 cents (typical weight for bottle is 35 grams)
- Power/CO2-to-plastics raw material PE/PP cost 4500 EUR/ton
- New bottle price 20 cents



Is it expensive?

### How is the plastics system governed?

#### **Plastic Transitions?**

- Fossil feedstock and limited biomass feedstock.
- No common vision, strategy or concerted governance.
- Focus on bags, packaging and recycling
- Who are the key actors? CocaCola, Tetra Pak or biotech start-ups?
- Forestry industry, petrochemicals industry, or others?
- A European Plastics Agency?



ROADMAP			
TITLE OF THE INITIATIVE	Strategy on Plastics in a Circular Economy		
LEAD DG – RESPONSIBLE UNIT	DG ENV, B1 (coordinated with units B2, B3, C1 and C2) DG GROW, D2 (coordinated with units C1, D1 and D4)	DATE OF ROADMAP	26/01/2017

# What is Industrial Policy?

- "Anything that changes the structural composition of the economy"
- **Progressive**: Innovation policy (with supply push and demand pull)
- Protective: Trade regulations, protected market access, tax-exemptions
- Ownership
- Procurement
  Direct state action
- Infrastructure
- Tax-policies

Economic policy

- Exchange rates (e.g., China)
- Development cooperation, business delegations and export strategies guarantees, councils

# What is Industrial Policy?

- Mercantilism, import substitution, infant industry argument
- Developmental state (e.g., Japan, South Korea)
- Industrialisation in Africa (import substitution, 1960s)
- The market liberal "Washington Concensus" (contested)
- Re-industrialisation and "green growth" as response to structural and climate crisis (D. Rodrik, K. Warwick, K. Aiginger, etc.)



#### Industrial policy for a sustainable growth path Policy Paper no 13, Karl Aiginger (WIFO), June 2014

The Systemic Industrial and Innovation Policy (SIIP) in a nutshell



Create incentives for technical progress, education and research, public awareness, consumer preferences



### How can the fossil-free industry evolve?

- Bottom-up (niches) as well as top-down (EU policies)
- Technology push (c.f. NER300/ETS-IF) and market pull (?)
- Horisontal versus vertical ("pick winner") industrial policy
- Soft versus hard policy
- Talk and attention. Materials matter more and more.
- Shared expectations and visions needed (also in f.x. NDCs)
- Are interests aligning? Voluntary approaches emerge?
- Tracing, labelling and transparency important
- Feed-in-tariffs or quota obligations
- Regulation and permits, high carbon prices?
- Carbon leakage



# Extra slides

